This addendum clarifies the intended meaning of two statements made in my written testimony. The two original statements are:

1. (page 1, 6th bullet): “Recent DOE support of innovative fusion energy concept development appears to have been terminated without formal scientific review.”

2. (page 7, line 2): “These program decisions appear to have been made without formal scientific reviews.”

These statements should be understood as follows: “Recent programmatic decisions by DOE to transition support away from innovative fusion energy concept development to the support of burning plasma science, addressing problems that hinder the tokamak concept, and/or discovery plasma science were made without expert panel review, e.g., by the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee (FESAC).”