US House of Representatives Committee on Science and Technology

TESTIMONY

Benton F. Baugh, Ph.D., P.E., NAE President Radoil, Inc.

I have been asked to give testimony as to whether current subsea drilling equipment is sufficiently developed to provide an adequate level of safety for deepwater drilling operations.

It is my opinion that the current state of technology of subsea drilling system is completely adequate to provide an appropriate level of safety to control wells being drilled, protect the environment, and provide safety for personnel. The basis of my opinion is more than 50 years of working in oilfield equipment design and manufacturing, receiving more than 100 U.S. patents, and having personally received a patent on almost every subassembly of a subsea drilling system.

Subsea drilling systems have existed for approximately the same period of time, from the early 60s' when 250' of seawater was considered ultra-deep, until now when we are drilling in 12,000' of seawater. Overall they have an impressive safety record. The BOP or blowout preventer stack is a piece of seafloor equipment approximately 12 foot square by 80 feet tall which typically weigh 600,000 to 800,000 lbs. They are connected to a surface vessel by a 21" outside diameter steel riser pipe with flotation added to give it approximately a four foot diameter.

This subsea equipment business we are discussing is dominated by 3 major first level manufacturers. Each of these suppliers have highly developed and refined systems. Each of these suppliers is ISO quality certified and follows conventional procedures of design, development, testing, and independent verification. You can fully expect that any system in the field has been tested to loads and pressures 50% higher than the loads and pressures ever anticipated to be seen in operations, and that the testing has been verified by independent third parties. You can equally well expect that the equipment is regularly tested to the maximum working pressures to confirm ongoing workability.

The company I work with is a second level company which sells large reels to each of these first level suppliers. On the first slide presented you can see a set of these reels of the type which will hold 10-12,000' of umbilical or hose to send signals and power to the subsea BOP control pods. The second slide on the left hand side shows a copy of the ISO 9001:2008 certification which we have received to certify that we have systems in place to promote the delivery of quality products. The right side of the same slide shows a "Type Approval" which we have received for a design, implying that not only has a 3rd party certifier checked the design, but has approved it as a type of design.

The third slide shows a factory acceptance test or FAT test for a product, in this case a reel. On the left side is the first page of the FAT and on the right side is page number 10 of 15 pages of this FAT test. On the right side you will notice that our personnel have

signed that each step has been successfully accomplished. Each of the small round stamps indicate that our customer's quality control personnel have witnessed and confirmed each requirement. Each of the oval stamps indicates that an independent third party, in this case the American Bureau of Shipping, has witnessed and confirmed each step. This occurs on every performance step, every pressure step, and every load step. All of our products do not require this level of quality and verification, but this is characteristic of what goes offshore.

These are the practices you would expect of the current first level suppliers. Clearly the systems for appropriate design, testing, and verification are in place today.

The well in question does not represent a "pushing of the envelope" in terms of what has been done. It is in 5000' of water and likely the exact rig had drilled other wells in depths greater than 10,000'. There is very little difference in drilling in 1000' of seawater and 10,000' of seawater. Probably the biggest difference is in what happens to the nitrogen charge in the accumulators which is well studied. The actual cause of the current problems is not known, and may well never be known depending on how ultimate closure happens to this well. Clearly it is the confluence of a number of events, none of which may have been the fault of the drilling system.

In spite of the current difficulties with the Maconda well blowout, there have been approximately 4000 offshore wells drilled and the last significant spill from a U.S. offshore well was in the Santa Barbara Channel, about 30 years ago. This is an impressive record of complex systems handling the critical sources of energy upon which our civilization is based.

The present question is whether a work stoppage will improve or reduce safety and technology. There is not a question whether we need fossil fuels in our lifetime. In spite of substantial investments to do so, it is clear that there will be no substitute for fossil fuels in our lifetime. A substantial work stoppage or moratorium will mean:

- 1. A reduction in safety because when the work restarts it will restart with a high percentage of less skilled workers. The most dangerous time for operations is when new workers start up a new task, and that is exactly what this will cause.
- 2. A reduction in safety because stopping drilling will cause more oil to come from foreign sources by tanker. It is far less safe for oil to be brought to the U.S. by tanker than it is to flow in a passive subsea pipeline to the shore. In fact, the last significant spill oil spill in the U.S. was that of a tanker the Exxon Valdez.
- 3. Financial damage to the work force and US companies will likely be more extensive than the oil spill itself, with no one to pay for it.

I assure you that the technology is in place and the systems are in place to do safe deepwater drilling. For these reasons I recommend:

- 1. The moratorium be lifted as soon as practical.
- 2. As equipment comes back to the surface, it be retested to confirm compliance with original factory acceptance testing and systems integration testing and have full independent 3rd party verification. If it is, the rig needs to go right back to work and continuity of the work force needs to continue.
- 2. All equipment and systems fabricated for collecting the present spill be captured and further developed in case another spill happens in the future.

- 3. Shear rams and shear ram actuators need continuing development as the wall thickness and material strength of the drill pipe is increased.
- 4. Tertiary back-up systems be commonly defined and implemented.
- 5. ROV interface systems be further developed for a fourth level of back-up control.
- 6. To a large extent, if existing rules, regulations and practices are enforced the overall quality and safety of the industry will be approved.

I encourage and promote ongoing and aggressive new product development and systems upgrade, not for 6 months but forever. At this time, in the past, and in the future it has been or will be appropriate to pursue upgrades in safety and technology. We will never reach perfection except in the smallest areas. We need to put our people and the country back in business now, not after some future arbitrary date.



www.radoil.com

				NSON S, INC.	
	Certifi	cate of c	Registra	tion	
Perry Joh		and the states in		nagement System	of:
	12251 FM	Radoil 1 529, Houston, TX	A SPISSON STRATEGICS	tes	
		called the Organizati Organization is in con		ies that	
		ISO 9001:	2008		
	This Regist	ration is in respect to U	he following scope of su	sply:	
	Design, Ma	nufacture and Sale	s of Oilfield Equip	ment	2
from, only the a	ddress given above. tred to above, and th	This Registration is	granted subject to th	services shall be offere ie system rules govern ssessment body daty to	ing the
V	rars, Inc. (PJR) ite 1340	L			
(248) 358-3388		f this certificate is depend		REGISTRA	RS, INC.
Effection		Espination D December 21,	ale	Certificate No. C2009-03135	



www.radoil.com

Radoil	The	N.C.	TP	N.Y	
DESIGN TO DELIVERY		1 ·			

MUX REEL FAT	RADOIL P/N: 190,810-26-XX	Radsil	, Inc.
	12251 FM 529, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77041 (713) 837-4484.	FAX (713) 937-4624, 8	-MAIL: radoli@radoil.com
GEAR	DRIVE MUX UMBILICA	L REEL	
(LOCAL CONT	ROLS W/ REMOTE CONTR	OL CAPA	BILITY)
Factory	Acceptance Test (FAT)	Procedu	re
	REEL SERIAL NO. 3820-	1	5711:5.5
	RCP SERIAL NO. 3657-0	01-02	
182	SLING SERIAL NO. NA		
	REEL PART NO. 190,810-26-01 L	Ð	
	REEL PART NO. 190,810-26-02 R	н	Nov ac
	CUSTOMER: NOV		NOU QC FAT AM
CUSTO	MER PART NUMBER: 200 32	257	
CUSTO	DMER P.O. NUMBER: MTS 3	396607	
	PROCEDURE NO. 190,810-26-94	1	

REVISION HISTORY

DATE	ORIG	CHK	APR	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE
07/05/07	EC	CB	BB	INITIAL REVISION	INITIAL REVISION
12/24/07	EC	СВ	BB	UPDATE TEST PROCEDURE	ADDITIONAL COMPONENT TESTING AND POST TEST PROCEDURE
3/12/08	EC	СВ	BB	OPENED RADIAL RUNOUT TOLERANCE TO 0.015"	TO REDUCE TIME NEEDED FOR ADJUSTING THE MAIN GEAR
8/28/08	MA	RO	BB	GENERAL UPDATE	GENERAL UPDATE
1/12/09	MA	RO	BB	INCREASED AXIAL RUNOUT	GENERAL UPDATE
03/09/09	MA	po	11	ADDED INFO FOR RH REEL	
		1	/		
	07/05/07 12/24/07 3/12/08 8/28/08 1/12/09	07/05/07 EC 12/24/07 EC 3/12/08 EC 8/28/08 MA 1/12/09 MA	07/05/07 EC CB 12/24/07 EC CB 3/12/08 EC CB 8/28/08 MA RO 1/12/09 MA RO	07/05/07 EC CB BB 12/24/07 EC CB BB 3/12/08 EC CB BB 8/28/08 MA RO BB 1/12/09 MA RO BB	07/05/07 EC CB BB INITIAL REVISION 12/24/07 EC CB BB UPDATE TEST PROCEDURE 3/12/08 EC CB BB OPENED RADIAL RUNOUT TOLERANCE TO 0.015" 8/28/08 MA RO BB GENERAL UPDATE 1/12/09 MA RO BB INCREASED AXIAL RUNOUT

MUX REEL FAT

Radsil, Inc.

Dat

Date

26 Jan 20

12251 FM 520, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77041 (713) 937-4404, FAX (713) 937-4624, E-MAIL: radol@radol.com

M

REEL OPERATION

A. Ensure no personnel are in the spool or within the confines of the frame.

RADOIL P/N: 190,810-26-XX

- B. Ensure Reel is free to turn and remove locking pin.
- C. Ensure operating speed of Reel during testing does not exceed 8 R.P.M.

LOCAL CONTROL

Disengage locking pin. Using the local controls, disengage brakes and rotate reel "IN". Ensure the reel turns in the appropriate direction. Center throttle control and the reel should come to a smooth stop in a short time. Rotate the reel "OUT". Ensure the reel turns in the appropriate direction. Engage brakes with throttle on and the reel is to stop.

Test Satisfactory: 405 Radoil Witness: ______h by___ Client Witness:

REMOTE CONTROL AND CONTROL LOGIC

Date: 2.6 Jan

C. BRAKE LOGIC TEST

Connect shop air and test provided RCP if applicable, or use shop test RCP. Follow the sequence grid using brake controls.

REMOTE CONTROL	LOCAL CONTROL	BRAKE POSITION	PASS Y/N
DISENGAGE	DISENGAGE	OPEN	405
ENGAGE	DISENGAGE	CLOSED	483
ENGAGE	ENGAGE	CLOSED	un
DISENGAGE	ENGAGE	CLOSED	-

Radoil Witness:

adoil Witness: 1. A. Client Witness: MAN

D. MOTOR DRIVE LOGIC TEST

Set both the local and remote brake controls to the "DISENGAGED" position Follow sequence grid

REMOTE CONTROL	LOCAL CONTROL	SPOOL ACTION	PASS Y/N
NEUTRAL	NEUTRAL	NOTHING	WE'S
IN	NEUTRAL	NOTHING	485
IN	OUT	ROTATES OUT	485
IN	NEUTRAL	STOP	145
IN	IN	ROTATES IN	485
NEUTRAL	IN	STOP	Mes
OUT	IN	ROTATES OUT	yes
OUT	NEUTRAL	STOP	416 0

Test Satisfactory:

3/9/2009

Client Witness: M.