U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY

HEARING CHARTER

Examining EPA Regulatory Overreach

Thursday, July 9, 2015 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. 2318 Rayburn House Office Building

PURPOSE

The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology will hold a hearing entitled *Examining EPA Regulatory Overreach* on Thursday, July 9, 2015, in Room 2318 of the Rayburn House Office Building. The hearing will examine the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) recent regulatory agenda, the scientific and technical justification for these regulations, and these regulations' impacts on the American people.

WITNESS LIST

• Hon. Gina McCarthy, Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

BACKGROUND

The EPA has recently proposed and finalized numerous regulations that will have significant impacts on the American people and businesses. Administrator McCarthy will testify about EPA's regulatory agenda.

On June 2, 2014, EPA proposed the Clean Power Plan with the intent of regulating carbon emissions from existing source electricity generating units. Under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act, EPA proposes that states formulate implementation plans to limit carbon emissions. The Clean Power Plan would require states to meet requirements for carbon emissions from electricity generating units. EPA proposes that states meet these requirements through four building blocks: improving the efficiency of coal steam electric generating units on an average of six percent, using combined cycle natural gas units up to a 70 percent capacity factor, constructing more zero and low-emitting power sources, and implementing energy efficiency measures to limit annual electricity demand by 1.5 percent annually. The final rule for the Clean Power Plan is anticipated to be submitted this summer.

¹ Clean Power Plan Proposed Rule, U.S. EPA, *available at* http://www2.epa.gov/carbon-pollution-standards/clean-power-plan-proposed-rule.

² Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units, 79 Fed. Reg. 34,830 (June 18, 2014), *available at* http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-06-18/pdf/2014-13726.pdf.

³ U.S. EPA, EPA Fact Sheet: Clean Power Plan National Framework for States, *available at* http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-05/documents/20140602fs-setting-goals.pdf.

⁴ *Id*.

The scope and manner in which the rule has been conceived by the agency has been met with considerable opposition from many states and other stakeholders. Recently, the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) produced a report at the request of Chairman Smith that found that EPA's Clean Power Plan would force the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired power plants, increase electricity prices, and decrease American GDP. On June 24, 2015, the Subcommittees on Environment and Energy held a hearing examining the impacts of the Clean Power Plan as reported by the EIA. Additionally, on September 14, 2014, the Committee heard testimony from former Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy Charles D. McConnell regarding the legal and technical shortcomings of EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan rule.

On November 25, 2014, the agency proposed a rule for ozone NAAQS, which would considerably tighten the ozone standard. EPA's proposed ozone rule would set more stringent standards, lowering the standard from the current 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range of 65 to 70 ppb. EPA's own regulatory impact analysis reports that this rule would cost up to \$15 billion annually. However, stakeholder groups have found that EPA's analysis vastly underestimates the costs and believe that this rule could be the most expensive ever enacted by the agency. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to review the NAAQS every five years. EPA is proposing new standards based on the advice of the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee. On March 17 and April 29, 2015, the Committee undertook a two-part series of hearings to examine the broad-based impacts of the proposed ozone NAAOS rule.

_

available at http://www.epa.gov/groundlevelozone/pdfs/20141125fs-numbers.pdf.

⁵ U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Comments on Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generation Units, Dec. 1, 2014, available at https://www.uschamber.com/sites/default/files/12.1.14-comments-to-epa-on-proposed-carbon-emission-standards-for-existing-power-plants-clean-power-plan.pdf; Comment From the Attorneys General of the States of Okla., W. Va., Neb., Ala., Fla., Ga., Ind., Kan., La., Mich., Mont., N.D., Ohio, S.C., S.D., Utah, Wyo. on Proposed EPA Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Generating Units available at http://www.ok.gov/oag/documents/EPA%20Comment%20Letter%20111d%2011-24-2014.pdf.

⁶ U.S. Energy Information Administration, Analysis of the Impacts of the Clean Power Plan, May 2015, *available at* http://www.eia.gov/analysis/requests/powerplants/cleanplan/pdf/powerplant.pdf.

⁷ For more information on this hearing, see: http://science.house.gov/hearing/subcommittee-environment-and-sub

⁸ For more information on this hearing, see: http://science.house.gov/hearing/full-committee-hearing-epa-s-carbon-plan-failure-design.

⁹ Proposed Rule for National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone, U.S. EPA, *available at* http://www.epa.gov/airquality/ozonepollution/pdfs/20141125proposal.pdf.

Nat'l Ambient Air Quality Standards, 79 Fed. Reg. 75,234 (proposed Dec. 17, 2014) (to be codified at 40 C.F.R. pts. 50, 51, 52, et. Al.) available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-12-17/pdf/2014-28674.pdf.
 U.S. EPA, EPA's Proposal to Update the Air Quality Standards for Ground-Level Ozone, By the Numbers,

¹² Nat'l Assoc. of Manufacturers, Economic Impacts of a 65 ppb National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone, Feb. 2015, *available at* http://www.nam.org/Issues/Energy-and-Environment/Ozone/Economic-Impacts-of-a-65-ppb-NAAQS-for-Ozone-(NERA).pdf.

¹⁴ For more information on these hearings, see: http://science.house.gov/hearing/subcommittee-environment-hearing-reality-check-part-ii-impact-epa-s-proposed-ozone-standards

On May 27, 2015, EPA released the final rule expanding the definition of the "Waters of the United States" under the Clean Water Act. While the agency clarified certain aspects of the rule, the final definition represents a tremendous expansion of EPA jurisdiction with regard to the Clean Water Act. While EPA's final rule does not regulate ditches to the same extent in the proposed rule, it does clearly define Clean Water Act jurisdiction over tributaries to traditionally navigable waters, waters adjacent to jurisdictional waters within a minimum of 100 feet within the 100-year floodplain up to a maximum of 1,500 feet of the ordinary high water mark, prairie potholes and other isolated waters, as well as waters with a significant nexus within the 100-year floodplain of a traditional navigable water. ¹⁶

Since the Clean Water Act's inception, EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have promulgated a series of rules defining the agencies' jurisdiction over certain "Waters of the United States." EPA and the Army Corps are promulgating the current rule in response to various Supreme Court decisions setting forth tests to determine the scope of the "Waters of the United States" definition. On June 4, 2015, the Committee heard testimony from industry representatives about how the final Waters of the United States rule expands EPA jurisdiction and presents regulatory barriers for the agricultural and construction industries.¹⁷

-

¹⁵ U.S. EPA, Press Release, *Clean Water Rule Protects Streams and Wetlands Critical to Public Health, Communities and Economy*, May 27, 2015, *available at* http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/62295CDDD6C6B45685257E52004FAC97.

¹⁶ U.S. EPA, Fact Sheet Clean Water Rule, May 27, 2015, available at http://www.epa.gov/cleanwaterrule.

¹⁷For more information on this hearing, see: http://science.house.gov/hearing/epa-regulatory-overreach-impacts-american-competitiveness